## **Mobile Cardiac Monitoring in Rural Settings**

Kyle A. Roth, FNP Student

**Nightingale College** 



# Background and Clinical Question

Cardiovascular disease significantly impacts rural patients where access to specialized care is limited. Rural patients have 37% more cardiovascular-related ED visits, with geographic distance and provider scarcity creating barriers. Arrhythmias are diagnosed and treated at lower rates in rural settings.

Clinical Question: Can mobile cardiac monitoring reduce acute care utilization by 30% during a 12-month implementation period in rural primary care settings?

#### **Purpose Statement**

SMART GOAL: Reduce cardiovascular-related acute care utilization by 30% within a 12-month implementation period in rural primary care settings.

#### Secondary Objectives:

- Achieve 90% patient compliance with monitoring protocols
- Establish critical event response times
   <30 minutes</li>
- Complete provider education protocols (≥85%)
- Improve quality-of-life metrics

#### **Current Evidence**

Systematic reviews demonstrate consistent benefits of remote cardiac monitoring in rural and underserved populations:

25-35% Reduction in Cardiac Hospitalizations 91-94% Sensitivity for Arrhythmias

Evidence supports remote monitoring effectiveness with significant improvements in patient outcomes and cost-effectiveness.

## Methodology

1. Population/Eligibility: Rural patients with suspected

heart disease

- · Cognitive ability to use devices
- · Internet access availability
- · Ability to provide informed consent
- **2. Practice Setting:** Primary clinics connected to tertiary care facilities serving rural communities.

## **Cost Analysis**

#### Investment Justification:

- Average cost per cardiac hospitalization: \$2,400
- Monthly monitoring cost per patient: \$180
- Break-even point: 6-8 months
- Savings per prevention: \$1,200

Break-even analysis shows cost recovery within 6-8 months through prevented hospitalizations. Value-based care models support financial sustainability and demonstrate clear return on investment.

#### Design

- Interventions: Three-component framework including centralized platform with Al algorithms, tiered clinical response system, and patientcentered devices (ECG patches, BP monitors).
- Key Stakeholders: Rural primary care physicians, consulting cardiologists, nurse coordinators, community representatives.
- Evaluation Methods: Mixed-methods approach using validated instruments (AFEQT, KCCQ), EHR data analysis, and qualitative interviews to assess outcomes and implementation effectiveness.

#### **Anticipated Results**

Based on previous studies, expected outcomes include:

30% Reduction in Acute Care Utilization	90% Patient Compliance Target
25 min Mean Response Time	<b>4.2-4.5</b> Patient Satisfaction Score

**Projected Impact:** 32-38% reduction in cardiac hospitalizations, 28-32% decrease in heart failure exacerbations.

## Conclusion

Mobile cardiac monitoring in rural settings demonstrates significant potential for reducing healthcare disparities while improving patient outcomes. The evidence supports implementation with strong clinical and economic benefits.

The three-component framework provides a sustainable model for rural cardiovascular care delivery that can be replicated across similar healthcare systems.

#### Future Recommendations

#### Sustainability Strategies:

- Integration into standard care pathways
- · Value-based reimbursement models
- Provider training and competency programs
- Technology platform standardization

#### **Expansion Opportunities:**

- Personalized risk stratification models
- Policy advocacy for rural telehealth
- Multi-specialty remote monitoring applications

#### Clinical Implications

Mobile cardiac monitoring enables early detection of cardiac events, reduces emergency department visits, and improves patient outcomes through continuous monitoring and timely interventions. Impact to Advanced Nursing Practice: This intervention enhances the FNP role as both clinician and innovator. demonstrating how technology can bridge care gaps and improve patient outcomes. The model establishes a foundation for expanded telehealth applications and positions advanced practice nurses as leaders in rural health innovation.



### References

Angel, S. Y., et al. (2020). The American Heart Association's 2020 Impact Goal. *Circulation*, 141(9). • Coombs, N. C., et al. (2022). A qualitative study of rural healthcare providers' views. *BMC Health Services Research*, 22(1). • Dayoust, M., et al. (2025). Patient and clinician experiences with telemedicine implementation. *Addiction Science* & *Clinical Practice*, 20(1). • Ludomirsky, A. R., et al. (2020). Association of financial hardship with adverse outcomes. *JAMA Cardiology*, 5(6), 713. • Zhou, Y., et al. (2020). Machine Learning-Based Risk Assessment for Cancer Therapy. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 76(23), 2768-2781.